Lecture 7 – Photolysis, part 2

ATOC/CHEM 5151

Photolysis, review

• Recall that there are three components we need to consider:

- 1. The molecule's absorption cross-section (σ)
- 2. The quantum yield (Φ)
- 3. The number of photons present (F)

Quantum Yield

molecules photolyzed/# photons absorbed

Why isn't this simply one or zero?

Note: Must be measured; very hard to calculate.

Quantum yield, example 1



FIGURE 4.9 Some measurements of the quantum yields for production of $O(^{1}D)$ in the photolysis of O_{3} at 298 K.

Quantum yield, Example 2a

TABLE 4.10Calculated Wavelengths (nm) for NO_2 Photolysis Below Which the Fragments ShownCan Be Produced^{a,b}

NO	Oxygen atoms					
	³ P	¹ D	¹ S			
$X^2\Pi$	397.8	243.9	169.7			
$A^2\Sigma^+$	144.2	117.4	97			

^{*a*} From Okabe (1978).

^b Assuming no contribution from internal energy of the molecule.

Quantum yield, example 2b



FIGURE 4.12 Quantum yields for NO production in the photolysis of NO₂ at 298 K. Calculated quantum yields due to internal energy (dotted line), the calculated dissociation due to collision (dashed line), and the sum of these two calculations (solid line) are also shown (adapted from Roehl *et al.*, 1994).

Beer-Lambert Law

- Simple model to quantify absorption of light
- $I = I_o \exp(-n\ell\sigma)$
- $n \equiv number of absorbers$ (cm⁻³)
- $l \equiv pathlength (cm)$
- $\sigma \equiv absorption \ cross-section$ (cm² molec⁻¹)



FIGURE 3.11 Schematic diagram of experimental approach to the Beer–Lambert law.

An example: ozone



FIGURE 4.7 UV absorption of O_3 at room temperature in the Hartley and Huggins bands. At the longer wavelengths, each curve has been expanded by the factor shown. (Adapted from Daumont *et al.*, 1989.)

Ozone example, part 2

Ozone is a particularly important absorber of UV radiation. Let's look at $\lambda = 250$ nm where $\sigma = 1.13 \times 10^{-18}$ cm² molec⁻¹ (at 298K)

I have an ozone instrument with a path length of 30 cm and a light source at 250 nm. There is 50 ppb of ozone outside. How much absorption will there be? [Note that density is about 2.5 x 10¹⁹ molec cm⁻³].

Column ozone

What matters in the atmosphere is the <u>column</u> <u>amount</u> of the absorber of interest.



Column Ozone, part 2

Given the ozone column amount of 300 DU, how much radiation at $\lambda = 250$ nm makes it to the surface?

Solar Flux



Wavelength (nm)

FIGURE 3.12 Solar flux outside the atmosphere and at sea level, respectively. The emission of a blackbody at 6000 K is also shown for comparison. The species responsible for light absorption in the various regions (O_3 , H_2O , etc.) are also shown (from Howard *et al.*, 1960).

Removal of solar radiation



FIGURE 3.13 Approximate regions of maximum light absorption of solar radiation in the atmosphere by various atomic and molecular species as a function of altitude and wavelength with the sun overhead (from Friedman, 1960).

Calculating J

$$J = \int_{\lambda} F \sigma \Phi d\lambda$$

$$\sigma = \sigma(\lambda, T)$$

$$\Phi = \Phi(\lambda, T)$$

$$F = F(\lambda, z, lat, albedo, N_{O3}, N_{O2}, aerosol)$$

$$\Lambda_{altitude}$$

Actinic Flux



FIGURE 3.16 Different sources of radiation striking a volume of gas in the atmosphere. These sources are direction radiation from the sun, radiation scattered by gases and particles, and radiation reflected from the earth's surface.

Variation of Actinic Flux with Altitude



FIGURE 3.32 Calculated actinic fluxes as a function of altitude for a solar zenith angle of 30° and a surface albedo of 0.3. (From DeMore *et al.*, 1997.)

How to calculate actinic flux

$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{F}_z &= \mathsf{F}_{\infty} \exp[-\Sigma_i(\sigma_i \mathsf{N}_i)] = \\ &\quad \mathsf{F}_{\infty} \exp[-(\sigma_{\mathrm{O3}}\mathsf{N}_{\mathrm{O3}} + \sigma_{\mathrm{O2}}\mathsf{N}_{\mathrm{O2}})] \end{aligned}$

This calculation must be done over the wavelength interval of importance for the molecule of interest.

Example: $HO_2 \rightarrow OH + O$

λ (nm)	σ(O ₂)	σ(O ₃)	F_{∞}	F _{32km}	σ (HO ₂)	J_{λ}
230	2.55 x 10 ⁻²³	1.75 x 10 ⁻¹⁷	5.58 x 10 ¹³	7.7 x 10 ⁴	2.45 x 10 ⁻¹⁸	1.9 x 10 ⁻¹³
240	7.78 x 10 ⁻²⁴	2.75 x 10 ⁻¹⁷	6.57 x 10 ¹³	3.4	1.35 x 10 ⁻¹⁸	4.6 x 10 ⁻¹⁸
250		3.50 x 10 ⁻¹⁷	6.55 x 10 ¹³	0	6.0 x 10 ⁻¹⁹	0

Example: $HO_2 \rightarrow OH + O$

The entire wavelength range of interest extends from 190 – 250 nm.

If we did the same calculation for all wavelength bins:

$$J_{total} = \Sigma_{\lambda} J_{\lambda} = 5.2 \text{ x } 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

Sun Angle

FIGURE 3.14 Definition of solar zenith angle θ at a point on the earth's surface.

Variation of Solar Zenith Angle

FIGURE 3.22 Effect of latitude on solar zenith angle. On the scale of true solar time, also called apparent solar time and apparent local solar time, the sun crosses the meridian at noon. The latitudes and seasons represented are as follows: I, 20°N latitude, summer solstice; II, 35°N latitude, summer solstice; IV, 20°N latitude, winter solstice; V, 35°N latitude, winter solstice; VI, 50°N latitude, winter solstice; VI, 50°N latitude, 1961).

FIGURE 3.23 Relation between solar zenith angle and time of day at Los Angeles, California (from Leighton, 1961).

Actinic Flux vs. Wavelength

Solar zenith angle, θ (degrees)

FIGURE 3.21 Calculated actinic flux centered on the indicated wavelengths at the earth's surface using best estimate albedos as a function of solar zenith angle (from Madronich, 1998).

Light attenuation by scattering

To make a completely correct calculation of J, need to account for scattering, both by gas molecules and by aerosols.

Generally we use "optical depth", τ, instead of column amounts:

 $I = I_0 e^{-\tau}$ Note that $\tau = 1$ means $I/I_0 = 0.37$

Scattering components

$$\tau = \tau_{abs} + \tau_{Ray} + \tau_{part}$$

Rayleigh scattering for air, $\sigma \approx 4 \times 10^{-28}/\lambda^4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molec}^{-1}$

Scattering by particles is complex; we can't do justice here – depends on size and phase.

Attenuation components

FIGURE 3.15 Attenuation coefficients (t) for light scattering (Rayleigh scattering) and absorption (ozone absorption) by gases and for scattering and scattering plus absorption (aerosol extinction) by particles [from Peterson (1976) and Demerjian *et al.* (1980)].